

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

DAEMON N. FORD,)	CASE NO. 5:21-cv-01618
)	
PETITIONER,)	CHIEF JUDGE SARA LIOI
)	
vs.)	MEMORANDUM OPINION
)	
WARDEN LEON HILL,)	
)	
RESPONDENT.)	

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge in the above-entitled action. Under the relevant statute:

[. . .] Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). In this case, the fourteen-day period, which was extended by three days pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d), has elapsed and no objections have been filed. The failure to file written objections to a Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation constitutes a waiver of a *de novo* determination by the district court of an issue covered in the report. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *see United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation and adopts the same. Accordingly, the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 11, 2024



HONORABLE SARA LIOI
CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT